

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10                  AT SEATTLE

11                  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00180-LK

12                  Plaintiff,

FINAL ORDER OF FORFEITURE

v.

13                  RYAN HOLMQUIST,

14                  Defendant.

15  
16                  This matter comes before the Court on the Government's Motion for a Final Order of  
17 Forfeiture, Dkt. No. 455, seeking to forfeit to the United States Defendant Ryan Holmquist's  
18 interest in the following (the "Subject Property"):<sup>1</sup>

- 19                  1. Three boxes of 9mm Luger ammunition; and  
20                  2. Miscellaneous loose rounds of ammunition.

21  
22  
23                  <sup>1</sup> This order does not address the Ruger Security-9 pistol (serial number 383-03286), with a loaded magazine attached  
24 and two additional loaded magazines (the "Ruger/Magazines"), which was subject to a now-resolved third-party claim.  
Dkt. No. 459.

1       The Court, having reviewed the Government's motion, as well as the other papers and  
2 pleadings filed in this matter, hereby finds that entry of a Final Order of Forfeiture is appropriate  
3 for the following reasons:

- 4       1. On September 23, 2024, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture finding  
5 the Subject Property and Ruger/Magazines forfeitable pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(a)  
6 as property that facilitated, and/or that constitutes proceeds of, Mr. Holmquist's  
7 commission of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances. Dkt. No. 328.
- 8       2. Thereafter, the Government published notice of the pending forfeiture as required by  
9 21 U.S.C. § 853(n)(1) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(6)(C). Dkt. No.  
10 367. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(6)(A), the Government  
11 provided direct notice to three potential claimants to the Subject Property and  
12 Ruger/Magazines. Dkt. No. 456 at 2; Dkt. No. 455 at 2–3.
- 13       3. Joshua Hinds filed a claim as to the Ruger/Magazines, but not as to the Subject  
14 Property. Dkt. No. 422. The other two potential claimants did not file claims. The time  
15 for filing third-party claims to the Subject Property has expired, and none were filed.  
16 Dkt. No. 455 at 3.

17       Now, therefore, the Court ORDERS the following:

- 18       1. No right, title, or interest in the Subject Property exists in any party other than the  
19 United States;
- 20       2. The Subject Property is fully and finally condemned and forfeited, in its entirety,  
21 to the United States;
- 22       3. The United States Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, and/or  
23 their representatives, are authorized to dispose of the Subject Property in  
24 accordance with the law; and

4. The Court will retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing the Final Order of Forfeiture as necessary pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2(e).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 18th day of June, 2025.

Lauren King  
Lauren King  
United States District Judge